



A Year in the Life of a Parallel File System



Glenn K. Lockwood, Shane Snyder, Teng Wang, Suren Byna, Philip Carns, Nicholas J. Wright

November 15, 2018

Why was my job's I/O slow?



Socrates (left) and Plato (right) contemplating I/O performance in *The School of Athens* by Raphael. 1511.

Why was my job's I/O slow?

1. You are doing something wrong
2. Another job/system task is competing with you
3. The storage system is degraded



Why was my job's I/O slow?

1. You are doing something wrong
2. Another job/system task is competing with you
3. The storage system is degraded



Most frustrating
Least studied

Our holistic approach to I/O variation



1. Measure performance variation over a year on large-scale production HPC systems
2. Collect telemetry from across the entire system
3. Quantitatively describe why I/O varies so much


1. Observing variation in the wild



- **Probe I/O performance daily**
 - Jobs scaled to achieve >80% peak fs performance
 - 45 – 300 sec per probe
- **Run in diverse production environments**
 - Two DOE HPC facilities (ALCF, NERSC)
 - Three large-scale systems (Mira, Edison, Cori)
 - Two parallel file system implementations (GPFS, Lustre)
 - Five file systems (Mira gpfs1, Edison lustre[1-3], Cori lustre1)

App I/O Transfer Size	Shared File	File Per Process
O(1 MiB)	IOR	IOR
O(100 MiB)	VPIC BD-CATS	HACC

2. Collecting diverse data for holistic analysis




Year-long I/O performance dataset




- 366 days of testing
- 11,986 jobs run
- 220 metrics measured per job
 - some derived or degenerate
 - sometimes undefined


...and not very insightful at a glance




I/O performance variation in production



Two flavors of I/O performance variation




Performance varies over the long term




Systematic, long-term problem for one I/O pattern

Performance varies over the short term



Transient bad I/O day for all jobs

Performance also experiences transient losses



Transient I/O problems

Again: Why was my job's I/O so slow?




- **Could be:**
 - Long-term systematic problems
 - Short-term transient problems
- **The next questions:**
 - What causes long-term, systematic problems?
 - What causes short-term transient problems?
- **Our approach:**
 - Separate problems over these two time scales
 - Independently classify causes of longer-term and shorter-term variation

Separating short-term from long-term




- **Goal: Numerically distinguish time-dependent variation**
- Simple moving averages (SMAs) from financial market technical analysis
- Where short-term average performance diverges from overall average



Quantitatively bound long-term problems

- **Goal: Numerically distinguish time-dependent variation**
- Simple moving averages (SMAs) from financial market technical analysis
- Where short-term average performance diverges from overall average
- **Example: Bug in a specific file system client version**




Separating short-term from long-term variation



Goal: Contextualize transient variation happening during long-term variation

- Two SMAs at different time windows (e.g., 14 days and 49 days)




Separating short-term from long-term variation




Goal: Contextualize transient variation happening during long-term variation

- Two SMAs at different time windows (e.g., 14 days and 49 days)
- Crossover points indicate short behavior == long behavior
- Divergence regions where short behavior diverges from long behavior




What causes divergence regions?

- Capitalize on widely ranging performance (and all 219 other metrics)
- Correlate performance in this region with other metrics
 - Bandwidth contention
 - IOPS contention
 - Data server CPU load
 - ...




What causes short-term variation over a year?



Each spot is correlation within a single divergence region with $p\text{-value} < 10^{-5}$

Dot radius $\propto -\log(p\text{-value})$


Source of bimodality



Identifying sources of transient variation

- Partitioning allows us to classify short-term performance variation
- Can't correlate truly transient variation though

Mira (GPFS), all benchmarks




Identifying sources of transient variation



- Confidently classifying transients is statistically impossible
- Classifying in aggregate *is* possible!
- If we observe a possible relationship...
 - One time? Maybe coincidence
 - Many times? Maybe *not* a coincidence


Mira (GPFS), all benchmarks



Identifying sources of transient variation




1. Identify jobs affected by transient issues
2. Define divergence regions
3. Classify jobs based on region, calculate p-values
4. Repeat for all transients and, calculate aggregate p-values



Sources of transient variation in practice



- #1 source is resource contention
- Other factors implicated but too rare to meet $p < 10^{-5}$
- 16% of anomalies defy classification



- **Baseline performance and variability change over time**
 - Patches & updates
 - Sustained bandwidth contention from scientific campaigns
- **Partitioning performance in time yields more insight**
 - Can classify short-term and transient variation
 - Quantifies effects of contention and suggests avenues for system architecture optimization
- **We can learn things from other fields of study**

Try this at home!



TOKIO

Reproducibility (code + year-long dataset):

<https://www.nersc.gov/research-and-development/tokio/a-year-in-the-life-of-a-parallel-file-system/> (or see the paper appendix)

pytokio Framework:

<https://github.com/nersc/pytokio>

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, under contracts DE-AC02-05CH11231 and DE-AC02-06CH11357. This research used resources and data generated from resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 and the Argonne Leadership Computing Facility, a DOE Office of Science User Facility supported under Contract DE-AC02-06CH11357.